

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/078,573	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ECHIZEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Shefali D Patel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2621	

**All Participants:**

(1) Shefali D Patel.

(2) Mr. Melvin Klaus (Reg. No. 22,466).

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 February 2005

**Time:** 2:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-19*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Mr. Klaus with regard to election over the phone but Mr. Klaus kindly asked the examiner to mail the restriction.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)